

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

JUNE HILL,  
Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.1:11-cv-830

vs.

Spiegel, J.  
Bowman, M.J.

CITY OF CINCINNATI, et al,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES EX REL.  
JUNE HILL & DOES 1-40,  
Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.1:12-cv-479

vs.

Spiegel, J.  
Bowman, M.J.

CITY OF CINCINNATI  
SOLICITOR'S OFFICE, et al,

Defendants.

**ORDER OF CONSOLIDATION**

Pursuant to this Court's August 13, 2012 Order, the above-referenced cases are herein **CONSOLIDATED** for all purposes under the **LOWER DOCKET NUMBER**, 1:11-cv-830. Notably, upon a *sua sponte* review of Case No. 1:12-cv-479, the Court found that "it appeared that Plaintiff has stated a viable claim of retaliation under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against defendant Zucker to the extent that plaintiff has alleged facts indicating that after the filing of a related lawsuit against Zucker and others (see June Hill v. City of Cincinnati, et al., No. 1:11-cv-830) Zucker took retaliatory actions against her which

included the removal of a permit that affects her means of employment as a taxicab driver.” (See Case No. 1:12-cv-469, Doc. 6). Thus, that one claim of retaliation should be allowed to proceed and consolidated with the earlier filed case.

Accordingly, **ALL FUTURE FILINGS** shall be made in Consolidated Case No. 1:11-cv-830.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Stephanie K. Bowman  
Stephanie K. Bowman  
United States Magistrate Judge